



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	JING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/847,038	04/30/2001		Brian T. Murren	GE1-008US	5210	
21718	7590	01/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LEE & HA	YES PLL	С	PAULA, CESAR B			
421 W RIVE	RSIDE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SPOKANE, WA 99201				2178		

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/847,038	MURREN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CESAR B. PAULA	2178		

	CESAR B. PAULA	2178	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a New a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 706.07(f).	ig date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of earnder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	i ⊠ will not be entered, or b) ∐ worded below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-30 and 34-37. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperty and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
		CESAR B PAULA Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 2178

Application No. 09/847,038

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Regarding claims 1, 20, the Applicants state that the newly added amendment is not taught or mentioned by Tondervold (page 12). This newly added limitation cannot be entered, because it necessitates a further consideration and/or search.

Regarding claim 26, the Applicants submit that Tondervold has no disclosure for performing both the automatic indentification and the verification of input (page 14, parag.3). The Examiner disagrees, because Tondervold teaches the automatic identification of fields to be added to a form, and then including instructions for validating input made to the form fields (col.4, lines 1-68, col.5, lines 1-47, col.7, lines 30-47).

Regarding claim 34, the Applicants submits that there is no business logic layer in the teachings of Tondervold (page 16, parag. 1). The Examiner disagrees, because Tondervold teaches a memory for storing forms and their input (col.4, lines 1-68, col.5, lines 1-47, col.7, lines 30-47).

Claims 36-37, and 10-19 are rejected at least for the rationale established above.

CESAR PAULA
PRIMARY EXAMINER